



Fluctuating hydrodynamics and the Brownian motion of an active colloid near a wall

Rajesh Singh and R. Adhikari

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences-HBNI, Chennai, India

ABSTRACT

The traction on the surface of a spherical active colloid in a thermally fluctuating Stokesian fluid contains passive, active, and Brownian contributions. Here we derive these three parts systematically, by ‘projecting out’ the fluid using the boundary-domain integral representation of slow viscous flow. We find an exact relation between the statistics of the Brownian traction and the thermal forces in the fluid and derive, thereby, fluctuation-dissipation relations for every irreducible tensorial harmonic traction mode. The first two modes give the Brownian force and torque, from which we construct the Langevin and Smoluchowski equations for the position and orientation of the colloid. We emphasise the activity-induced breakdown of detailed balance and provide a prescription for computing the configuration-dependent variances of the Brownian force and torque. We apply these general results to an active colloid near a plane wall, the simplest geometry with configuration-dependent variances, and show that the stationary distribution is non-Gibbsian. We derive a regularization of the translational and rotational friction tensors, necessary for Brownian dynamics simulations, that ensures positive variances at all distances from the wall. The many-body generalization of these results is indicated.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 2 November 2016
Accepted 6 February 2017

KEYWORDS

Active colloids;
self-propelling particles;
fluid mechanics;
Brownian motion

1. Introduction

There has been a renewal of interest in the study of colloids with ‘active’ boundaries, on which the usual no-slip boundary condition is replaced by one involving a ‘slip velocity’. This slip is the macroscopic manifestation of microscopic non-equilibrium processes in a thin boundary layer surrounding the colloid. Classic examples of slip-driven motion are the multitude of phoretic phenomena including electro-, thermo- and diffusio-phoresis and the motion of ciliated microorganisms (Anderson, 1989; Ebbens & Howse, 2010). More recently, the slip model has been adapted to provide an effective description of flagellated microorganisms (Ghose & Adhikari, 2014). It provides a very general model for the dynamics of phenomena where colloidal motion occurs without external influence.

CONTACT Rajesh Singh  rsingh@imsc.res.in

© 2017 Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

The traction, that is the force per unit area, at the surface of an active colloid has three components: the Stokes drag proportional to the rigid body motion, the active thrust proportional to the slip velocity and the Brownian stress proportional to the temperature and the viscosity of the fluid. When the inertia of the colloid is negligible, its rigid body motion is obtained by setting the net force and net torque due to these tractions to zero. A central question, then, is to derive the traction from which the net force and torque can be obtained by integration over the surface of the colloid.

At low Reynolds number and at finite temperature the Cauchy stress in the fluid obeys the fluctuating Stokes equation, a linear stochastic partial differential equation containing zero-mean Gaussian random fluxes with variances determined by the fluctuation-dissipation relation. These represent thermal fluctuations in the fluid. The solenoidal fluid velocity obeys the slip boundary condition at the colloid-fluid boundary. For a given rigid body motion and slip, the solution of the fluctuating Stokes equation provides the Cauchy stress in the fluid, from which the traction on the colloid boundary is obtained. As this no longer refers to the fluid velocity, but only to the boundary condition and the random fluxes, the fluid is said to have been ‘projected out’. The Brownian forces and torques on the colloid are the first and second antisymmetric moments of the random part of the boundary stress and their variance, by linearity of the governing equations, is proportional to the variance of the random fluxes. The Langevin equations for the position and orientation of the colloid then follow straightforwardly from the expression for the net forces and torques.

Fox and Uhlenbeck were the first to derive the Langevin equation for the position of a *passive* spherical colloid from the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations for the fluid (Fox & Uhlenbeck, 1970). The fluid was taken to satisfy the no-slip boundary condition on the surface of the colloid and to be quiescent at the remote boundaries. The Lorentz reciprocal identity was used to relate the dissipative (Stokes) and fluctuating (Einstein) parts of the force and to derive, thereby, the fluctuation-dissipation relation for the Brownian force on the colloid from that of the random fluxes in the fluid. This approach was refined by several authors by including fluid inertia, particle inertia, and Brownian fluxes at the colloid-fluid boundary (Bedeaux & Mazur, 1974; Beenakker & Mazur, 1983; Hauge & Martin-Löf, 1973; Roux, 1992). Notably, Zwanzig, in an earlier contribution, had derived the variance of the Brownian force on a spherical colloid in an unbounded fluid using the Faxen relation (Zwanzig, 1964). Its use in the Green-Kubo relation for the transport coefficient then recovered the expected form of the Stokes friction.

In this contribution, we derive the traction on the surface of an *active* colloidal particle near a *plane wall* by projecting out the fluid degrees of freedom. Our derivation differs in three important ways from previous work. First, we derive the complete distribution of the Brownian boundary traction, and not just its first two moments as has been customary. This provides, in addition to the Brownian force and torque, the Brownian stresslet, a quantity important in the

suspension rheology. Second, the no-slip boundary condition at the colloid-fluid boundary is *replaced* by the slip boundary condition. This introduces an additional uncompensated source of dissipation and is the source, as we show, of the breakdown of detailed balance in the resulting Langevin equation. Third, a no-slip boundary condition is *introduced* at the plane wall. This results in Stokes drags and active thrusts that depend on the distance of the colloid from the wall. The configuration-dependent friction requires, by the fluctuation-dissipation relation, configuration-dependent Brownian forces and torques and necessitates a ‘prescription’ in the Langevin equations to render them mathematically meaningful. The solution to this old chestnut, the so-called Ito-Stratonovich dilemma, has been provided by several authors on multiple occasions but tends to be forgotten (Lau & Lubensky, 2007; Mannella & McClintock, 2011; Volpe & Wehr, 2016; Volpe, Helden, Brettschneider, Wehr, & Bechinger, 2010). The solution is to adiabatically eliminate the momentum, considered as a fast variable, from underdamped Langevin equations, where no such dilemma exists. The interpretation of the Brownian forces and torques in the overdamped Langevin equations is then unambiguous, though not necessarily conforming to either the Ito or Stratonovich prescriptions (Gardiner, 1985; Kampen, 1992; Klimontovich, 1990, 1994; Van Kampen, 1981). Due to the linearity of the governing equations, this method of imputing meaning to the Brownian forces and torques remains valid in the presence of activity, as we show below. To the best of our knowledge, ours is the first systematic derivation of the Langevin equations for an active (and, as a special case, of a passive) colloid when the Stokes friction is configuration dependent.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we transform the fluctuating Stokes equation to its boundary-domain integral representation and hence obtain a linear integral equation for the traction on the colloid-fluid boundary. A formal solution, expressed in terms of the inverse of the single-layer operator of the integral equation (see below), clearly identifies the drag, thrust and Brownian contributions of the traction. The variance of the Brownian component of the traction follows from this solution. In Section 3, we consider a spherical colloid and provide an explicit solution to the boundary-domain integral equation. We derive, through a Galerkin discretization, an equivalent linear *algebraic* system for the coefficients of the expansion of the traction in a complete orthogonal basis of tensorial spherical harmonics. The solution of the linear system shows that the each tensorial coefficient of the Brownian traction is a zero-mean Gaussian random variable and provides their variances in terms of the variance of the stochastic term in the fluctuating Stokes equation. The Brownian force, torque and stresslet follow immediately. In Section 4, we use the previous results to construct the overdamped Langevin equations for the position and orientation of the colloid. The Smoluchowski equations, corresponding to the prescription for the Brownian forces and torques provided by the adiabatic elimination procedure, are then presented and the activity-induced breakdown

of fluctuation-dissipation relation is pointed out. In Section 5, we specialize to the case of Stokes flow bounded by a plane wall by a specific choice of Green's function first identified by Lorentz and subsequently derived systematically by Blake (1971). This Green's function vanishes at the plane wall and leads to a substantial simplification in the linear system. We show that the usual barometric distribution of height is no longer the stationary solution, a consequence of the breakdown of detailed balance. We also provide a regularization of the friction tensors, for what would correspond to heights in which the sphere overlaps with the wall. This ensures positive variances and is a necessary ingredient in Brownian dynamics simulations of spheres without hard steric potentials. Finally, the extension of the above results to many active colloids is indicated.

2. Boundary-domain integral representation of fluctuating stokes flow

In this section, we consider the motion of an active colloid of arbitrary shape in an incompressible fluid of viscosity η at a temperature $k_B T$. The boundary condition induces a local force per unit area $\mathbf{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ on the colloid boundary, where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the Cauchy stress in the fluid (Landau & Lifshitz, 1959) and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$ is the unit surface normal. We shall henceforth refer to \mathbf{f} as the traction. In addition, the colloid may also be acted upon by body forces \mathbf{F}^P and body torques \mathbf{T}^P . In the absence of inertia of both particle and fluid, Newton's equations for the colloid reduces to instantaneous balance of the surface forces (and torques) with the body forces (and torques)

$$\int \mathbf{f} \, dS + \mathbf{F}^P = 0, \quad \int \boldsymbol{\rho} \times \mathbf{f} \, dS + \mathbf{T}^P = 0. \quad (1)$$

These are overdamped Langevin equations when \mathbf{f} contains Brownian contributions.

To obtain the traction \mathbf{f} it is necessary to know the flow field \mathbf{u} . At low-Reynolds number this satisfies the Stokes equation (Happel & Brenner, 1965)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\xi} = 0, \quad \text{in } V, \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}, \quad \text{on } S, \quad (2b)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -p\mathbf{I} + \eta(\nabla\mathbf{u} + \nabla\mathbf{u}^T)$ is the Cauchy stress, p is the fluid pressure, $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is the thermal force acting on the fluid, \mathbf{v} is the boundary velocity and S is the surface of the colloid and V is the domain of flow. The thermal force is a zero-mean Gaussian random field whose variance is given by the fluctuation-dissipation relation

$$\left\langle \int \mathbf{u}^D(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \, dV \int \mathbf{u}^D(\mathbf{r}') \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{r}', t') \, dV' \right\rangle = 2k_B T \dot{W}(\mathbf{u}^D) \delta(t - t'), \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{u}^D is any flow field that satisfies the rigid body boundary condition on S and \dot{W} , the rate of dissipation of the fluid kinetic energy due to rigid body motion, is given by

$$\dot{W}(\mathbf{u}^D) = \eta \int \left[\nabla \mathbf{u}^D + (\nabla \mathbf{u}^D)^T \right]^2 dV. \quad (4)$$

This manner of describing the thermally fluctuating fluid, due to [Hauge and Martin-Löf \(1973\)](#), is specially suited for flows with boundaries. The addition of a random flux, the more conventional manner of description first introduced by [Landau and Lifshitz \(1959\)](#), contains ambiguities in the presence of boundaries and is best used, therefore, when the fluid is unbounded in all directions ([Hauge & Martin-Löf, 1973](#)).

The key property of the above problem, which makes it possible to eliminate the fluid degrees of freedom *exactly*, is linearity. It is most clearly expressed in terms of the boundary-domain integral representation which provides the fluid flow in the bulk in terms of the boundary condition and the Brownian force. The traction \mathbf{f} is obtained as a solution of the boundary-domain integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} v_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = & \int G_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \xi_\beta(\mathbf{r}') dV' - \int G_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f_\beta(\mathbf{r}') dS' \\ & + \int K_{\beta\alpha\gamma}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \hat{\rho}_\gamma v_\beta(\mathbf{r}') dS', \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $G_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ is a Green's function of the Stokes equation and $K_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ is the associated stress tensor. These kernels satisfy the Stokes system

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha G_{\alpha\beta} &= 0, \\ -\nabla_\alpha P_\beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') + \eta \nabla^2 G_{\alpha\beta} &= -\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\ K_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') &= -\delta_{\alpha\gamma} P_\beta + \eta (\nabla_\gamma G_{\alpha\beta} + \nabla_\alpha G_{\beta\gamma}), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $P_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ is a pressure vector. Implicit in the above is a choice of Green's function that satisfies no-slip boundary conditions at any boundary of the fluid that is not part of S . As is clear from Equation (5), the traction is a sum of a mean contribution, proportional to the boundary velocity \mathbf{v} and a fluctuating contribution, proportional to the Brownian force $\boldsymbol{\xi}$.

Defining the single-layer and double-layer integral operators \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{K} , which act, respectively, on tractions and velocities, as

$$\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{f} = \int \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}') dS', \quad \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \int \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \mathbf{v} \cdot dS', \quad (7)$$

and a Brownian velocity field \mathbf{w} as

$$\mathbf{w} = \int \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{r}') dV', \quad (8)$$

the solution of the boundary-domain integral equation can be expressed formally in terms of the inverse of the single-layer integral operator as

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{G}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{G}^{-1} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}. \quad (9)$$

This formal solution shows that: (i) the traction is a sum of a Brownian contribution $\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{G}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{w}$ from the fluctuations in the fluid and a deterministic contribution from the boundary condition, containing both the rigid body motion $\mathbf{f}^{\mathcal{D}} = -\mathbf{G}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{D}}$ and the active slip $\mathbf{f}^{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbf{G}^{-1} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{A}}$ (ii) the Brownian traction is a zero-mean Gaussian random variable whose variance is linearly related to the variance of the thermal force $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and (iii) the variance of the Brownian traction can be determined explicitly from the inverse of the single-layer operator and the fluctuation-dissipation relation for the thermal force. In the next section, we provide an explicit solution for the boundary-domain integral equation for a spherical active colloid and, thereby, derive the explicit form of the traction in terms of generalized friction tensors.

3. Traction on a spherical active colloid

We now consider a spherical colloid of radius b whose center is at \mathbf{R} and whose orientation is specified by the unit vector \mathbf{p} . A point on the boundary is $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}$, where $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is the radius vector. The boundary velocity, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{D}} + \mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{A}}$, is the sum of its rigid body motion $\mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{D}} = \mathbf{V} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}$, specified by the velocity \mathbf{V} and angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, and the active slip velocity $\mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{A}}$. The only restriction on the active slip is that its integral over the surface of the sphere is zero. This ensures conservation of mass in the fluid.

We choose the tensorial spherical harmonics $\mathbf{Y}^{(l)}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) = (-1)^l \rho^{l+1} \nabla^{(l)} \rho^{-1}$, where $\nabla^{(l)} = \nabla_{\alpha_1} \dots \nabla_{\alpha_l}$, as complete orthogonal basis functions on the sphere. In this basis, the active slip is expanded as

$$\mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(l-1)!(2l-3)!!} \mathbf{V}^{(l)} \cdot \mathbf{Y}^{(l-1)}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}). \quad (10)$$

The coefficients $\mathbf{V}^{(l)}$ are reducible Cartesian tensors of rank l , with three irreducible parts of ranks l , $l-1$, and $l-2$, corresponding to symmetric traceless, antisymmetric and pure trace combinations of the reducible indices. These irreducible parts are (Brunn, 1976; Schmitz, 1980; Singh & Adhikari, 2016a, 2016b; Ghose & Adhikari, 2014; Singh, Ghose, & Adhikari, 2015) $\mathbf{V}^{(l\sigma)} = \mathbf{P}^{(l\sigma)} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(l)}$, where the index $\sigma = s, a$ and t , labels the symmetric irreducible, antisymmetric and pure trace parts of the reducible tensors. The operator $\mathbf{P}^{(ls)} = \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(l)}$ extracts the symmetric irreducible part, $\mathbf{P}^{(la)} = \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(l-1)} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ the antisymmetric part and $\mathbf{P}^{(lt)} = \boldsymbol{\delta}$ the trace of the operand. Here $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{(l)}$ is tensor of rank $2l$, projecting any l -th order tensor to its symmetric irreducible form (Hess, 2015) $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is the Kronecker delta.

The traction can be similarly expanded in the tensorial harmonic basis as

$$\mathbf{f} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{2l-1}{4\pi b^2} \mathbf{F}^{(l)} \cdot \mathbf{Y}^{(l-1)}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}). \quad (11)$$

and it is convenient to express it as a sum of rigid body, active and Brownian contributions as

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{\mathcal{D}} + \mathbf{f}^{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{\mathbf{f}}, \quad (12)$$

with corresponding expansion coefficients $\mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{D}(l)}$, $\mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{A}(l)}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{F}}^{(l)}$. As with the velocity coefficients, each traction coefficient has three irreducible parts indexed by $l\sigma$. Note that each $\mathbf{V}^{(l)}$ and $\mathbf{F}^{(l)}$ have the dimension of velocity and force respectively. The net force and the torque are given by first two irreducible coefficients $\int \mathbf{f} \, dS = \mathbf{F}^{(1s)}$ and $\int \boldsymbol{\rho} \times \mathbf{f} \, dS = b\mathbf{F}^{(2a)}$.

By linearity, the three parts of the traction satisfy *independent* boundary integral equations. Recalling that rigid body motion is an eigenvector of the double-layer integral operator, these are

$$V_{\alpha} + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \Omega_{\beta} \rho_{\gamma} = - \int G_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f_{\beta}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{r}') \, dS', \quad (\text{rigid body}) \quad (13a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} v_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{r}) &= - \int G_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') f_{\beta}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{r}') \, dS' \\ &+ \int K_{\beta\alpha\gamma}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \hat{\rho}_{\gamma} v_{\beta}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{r}') \, dS', \quad (\text{active}) \quad (13b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int G_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') ,_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}') \, dV' \\ &- \int G_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \hat{f}_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}') \, dS'. \quad (\text{Brownian}) \quad (13c) \end{aligned}$$

Addition of the above three equations recovers the boundary integral equation, Equation (5), for the net traction.

The first integral equation for the Stokes drag has been well-studied in the literature on suspension mechanics. The second integral equation for the active thrust has been studied recently in the context of active colloids in an athermal fluid (Singh & Adhikari, 2016a, 2016b; Singh et al., 2015). The third integral equation for the fluctuating traction is studied here for the first time. Physically, the fluctuating traction corresponds to the distribution of surface forces necessary to keep the sphere stationary in the incident Brownian velocity field $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r})$. From this, it is particularly clear that the Brownian traction is a zero-mean Gaussian random variable whose variance is related to that of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. We now present explicit solutions for each of the integral equations using Galerkin's method of discretization. Linear algebraic systems are derived by inserting the basis expansions on each side of the integral equations, weighting the result by another basis function and integrating over the boundary. We refer the reader to Singh et

al. (2015), Singh and Adhikari (2016a, 2016b) where the procedure is explained in detail.

3.1. Rigid body traction

The linear algebraic system for the rigid body contribution to the traction, with the summation convention for repeated indices, is

$$- \mathbf{G}^{(l,l')}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{D}(l')} = \mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{D}(l)}. \quad (14)$$

Here the matrix elements of the single-layer operator $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R})$ in the tensorial harmonic basis are

$$\mathbf{G}^{(l,l')}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{2l-1}{4\pi b^2} \frac{2l'-1}{4\pi b^2} \int \mathbf{Y}^{(l-1)}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}') \mathbf{Y}^{(l'-1)}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}') dS dS', \quad (15)$$

and l -th tensorial harmonic coefficients of the rigid body motion and the traction are, respectively, $\mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{D}(l)}$ and $\mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{D}(l)}$. Clearly, the only non-zero coefficients of $\mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{D}(l)}$ are \mathbf{V} and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, corresponding to $l\sigma = 1s$ and $l\sigma = 2a$ respectively. The solution of the linear system is

$$\mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{D}(l\sigma)} = - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(l\sigma, T)} \cdot \mathbf{V} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(l\sigma, R)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}, \quad (16)$$

where we have introduced the notation T for $l\sigma = 1s$ and R for the $l\sigma = 2a$ and the friction tensors $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(l\sigma, \alpha)}$ with $\alpha = T, R$ are given by

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(l\sigma, \alpha)} = \mathbf{P}^{(l\sigma)} \cdot [\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{R})]^{(l,l')} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(\alpha)}. \quad (17)$$

The friction tensors give the contribution to the traction from rigid body motion (Brenner, 1963; Felderhof, 1976). The contribution of the traction from $l\sigma = 1s$ and $l\sigma = 2a$ correspond to the forces and torques

$$\mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{D}} = - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{TT} \cdot \mathbf{V} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{TR} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}, \quad (18a)$$

$$\mathbf{T}^{\mathcal{D}} = - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{RT} \cdot \mathbf{V} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{RR} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}. \quad (18b)$$

The inverse of the single-layer operator can be computed in several ways both analytically and numerically. The Jacobi iteration used in Singh and Adhikari (2016a, 2016b) is convenient for analytical expressions.

The fluid flow $\mathbf{u}^{\mathcal{D}}$ for a rigid body motion of the sphere has the integral representation $\mathbf{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{r}) = - \mathbf{G}^{(l)}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{D}(l)}$ where the boundary integral

$$\mathbf{G}^{(l)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = \frac{2l-1}{4\pi b^2} \int \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{Y}^{(l-1)}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) dS. \quad (19)$$

is the contribution to the external flow from the l -th tensorial coefficient of the traction. The double layer has no contribution from rigid body motion to the

external flow. This result will be used below in deriving a key identity necessary for deriving the variance of the Brownian traction.

3.2. Active traction

The linear algebraic system for the active contribution to the traction is

$$-\mathbf{G}^{(l,l')}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{A}(l')} + \mathbf{K}^{(l,l')}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(l')} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{V}^{(l)}. \quad (20)$$

where the matrix elements of the double-layer operator $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{R})$ in the tensorial harmonic basis are

$$\mathbf{K}^{(l,l')}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{2l-1}{4\pi b^2} \frac{1}{(l-1)!(2l-3)!!} \int \mathbf{Y}^{(l-1)}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}') \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \mathbf{Y}^{(l-1)}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}') d\mathbf{S} d\mathbf{S}'. \quad (21)$$

The irreducible parts of the slip coefficients are $\mathbf{V}^{(l\sigma)}$. The first two modes, $\mathbf{V}^{(1s)} \equiv -\mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathbf{V}^{(2a)} \equiv -b\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathcal{A}}$ are given by the integrals

$$4\pi a^2 \mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{A}} = - \int \mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) d\mathbf{S}, \quad 4\pi a^2 \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathcal{A}} = - \frac{3}{2a^2} \int \boldsymbol{\rho} \times \mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) d\mathbf{S}. \quad (22)$$

and are equal to the self-propulsion velocity and self-rotation angular velocity of an isolated active colloid in unbounded flow (Anderson, 1989; Ghose & Adhikari, 2014). The solution of the linear system is

$$\mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{A}(l\sigma)} = - \sum_{l'\sigma'=1s}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(l\sigma, l'\sigma')} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(l'\sigma')}. \quad (23)$$

The friction tensors $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(l\sigma, l'\sigma')}$ gives the active contribution to the traction. These tensors were first introduced in Singh and Adhikari (2016a) and are given by

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(l\sigma, l'\sigma')} = \mathbf{P}^{(l\sigma)} \cdot [\mathbf{G}^{-1} \cdot (-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K})]^{(l,l')} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(l'\sigma')}. \quad (24)$$

They can be interpreted as Onsager coefficients of the linear response of the traction to the surface slip. The active force and torque on the colloid are the $l\sigma = 1s$ and $2a$ coefficients of the traction. These are given as

$$\mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{A}} = - \sum_{l'\sigma'=1s}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(T, l'\sigma')} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(l'\sigma')}, \quad (25a)$$

$$\mathbf{T}^{\mathcal{A}} = - \sum_{l'\sigma'=1s}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(R, l'\sigma')} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(l'\sigma')}. \quad (25b)$$

The active forces and torques depend on all modes of the slip. In contrast to passive colloids, where only four friction tensors determine the force and torque,

there are, in general, infinitely many friction tensors for active colloids, corresponding to the infinitely many modes of the slip. These infinitely many friction tensors account for the diversity of phenomenon seen in active suspensions.

3.3. Brownian traction

The linear algebraic system for the Brownian contribution to the traction is

$$\mathbf{G}^{(l,l')}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{(l')}(t) = \mathbf{W}^{(l)}(\mathbf{R}, t), \quad (26)$$

where $\mathbf{W}^{(l)}$ are coefficients of the irreducible tensor expansion

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(l-1)!(2l-3)!!} \mathbf{W}^{(l)} \cdot \mathbf{Y}^{(l-1)}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \quad \text{on } S \quad (27)$$

of the Brownian velocity field incident on the surface of the colloid. From the definition of the Brownian velocity field, Equation (8), these coefficients are given by

$$\mathbf{W}^{(l)} = \int \mathbf{G}^{(l)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{r}') dV'. \quad (28)$$

The solution of the linear system for the Brownian traction is

$$\hat{\mathbf{F}}^{(l)}(t) = [\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{R})]^{(l,l')} \cdot \mathbf{W}^{(l')}(t). \quad (29)$$

The coefficients of the Brownian traction are proportional to the coefficients of the Brownian velocity field incident on the surface of the colloid and, by Equation (8), to the Brownian force in the fluctuating Stokes equation. It is clear, then, that the traction coefficients are zero-mean Gaussian random variables and then it is only necessary to determine their variance to fully specify their distribution. By the previous equation, they are related to the variance of the coefficients of the Brownian velocity field as

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{(l)}(t) \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{(l')}(t') \rangle = [\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{R})]^{(l,k)} \langle \mathbf{W}^{(k)}(\mathbf{R}, t') \mathbf{W}^{(k')}(\mathbf{R}, t') \rangle [\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{R})]^{(l',k')}. \quad (30)$$

To determine the variance of Brownian velocity coefficients we use the boundary integral representation of $\mathbf{u}^{\mathcal{D}}$ given above (see also Singh & Adhikari, 2016a; Singh et al., 2015). Inserting this on the left of the fluctuation-dissipation relation for the thermal force, Equation (3), gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \int \mathbf{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{r}, t) dV \int \mathbf{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{r}') \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{r}', t') dV' \right\rangle \\ & = \mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{D}(l)} \cdot \left\langle \mathbf{W}^{(l)}(\mathbf{R}, t') \mathbf{W}^{(l')}(t') \right\rangle \cdot \mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{D}(l')}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, the power dissipated by the rigid body motion, on the right of the fluctuation-dissipation relation can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{W}(\mathbf{u}^D) &= - \int \mathbf{f}^D(\mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}) \cdot \mathbf{u}^D(\mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}) dS \\ &= -\mathbf{F}^{D(l)} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{D(l)} = \mathbf{F}^{D(l)} \cdot \mathbf{G}^{(l,l')}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \mathbf{F}^{D(l')}\end{aligned}\quad (32)$$

The first equality is obtained by using the divergence theorem to reduce the volume integral of the quadratic form in Equation (4) to the boundary of the colloid and then using the constitutive relation between the stress and the strain rate in Stokes flow (Landau & Lifshitz, 1959). The second equality is an elementary consequence of the orthogonality of the tensorial spherical harmonics (Singh & Adhikari, 2016a; Singh et al., 2015). The third equality is obtained by eliminating the velocity using the linear algebraic system, Equation (13a) for rigid body motion. Comparing the above two equations, the variance of the Brownian velocity coefficients is

$$\langle \mathbf{W}^{(l)}(\mathbf{R}, t') \mathbf{W}^{(l')}(\mathbf{R}, t') \rangle = 2k_B T \mathbf{G}^{(l,l')}(\mathbf{R}) \delta(t - t'). \quad (33)$$

This expression is then used in Equation (30) to obtain the variance of the coefficients of the Brownian traction

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{(l)}(t) \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{(l')}(t') \rangle = 2k_B T [\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{R})]^{(l,l')} \delta(t - t'). \quad (34)$$

These are an infinite number of fluctuation-dissipation relations between the variance of the tensorial harmonic modes of the fluctuating traction and the matrix elements, in the irreducible tensorial harmonic basis, of the *inverse* of the single-layer operator. To the best of our knowledge, the complete statistics of the Brownian traction is derived here for the first time and is the central result of this paper.

The variance of the irreducible parts of the fluctuating traction follow straightforwardly as

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{(l\sigma)}(t) \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{(l'\sigma')}(t') \rangle = 2k_B T \mathbf{P}^{(l\sigma)} \cdot [\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{R})]^{(l,l')} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(l'\sigma')} \delta(t - t'). \quad (35)$$

The first two coefficients of the fluctuating traction are the force and torque, and choosing $l\sigma = 1s, 2a$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \hat{\mathbf{F}} \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle \hat{\mathbf{F}}(t) \hat{\mathbf{F}}(t') \rangle &= 2k_B T \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{TT} \delta(t - t'), \\ \langle \hat{\mathbf{F}}(t) \hat{\mathbf{T}}(t') \rangle &= 2k_B T \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{TR} \delta(t - t'), \\ \langle \hat{\mathbf{T}} \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle \hat{\mathbf{T}}(t) \hat{\mathbf{F}}(t') \rangle &= 2k_B T \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{RT} \delta(t - t'),\end{aligned}\quad (36a)$$

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{T}}(t) \hat{\mathbf{T}}(t') \rangle = 2k_B T \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{RR} \delta(t - t'). \quad (36b)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}$, with $\alpha, \beta = T, R$, are the one-particle friction tensor and are $(l\sigma = 1s, 2a)$ elements of $\mathbf{P}^{(l\sigma)} \cdot [\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{R})]^{(l,l')} \cdot \mathbf{P}^{(l'\sigma')}$. The fluctuation-dissipation relation for the Brownian force and torque are, thus, derived from the fluctuation-dissipation relation for the thermal force on the fluid.

We make the following remarks about the above derivation. First, the explicit form of the inverse of the single-layer operator is not necessary to obtain Equation (34); it is sufficient to know that the inverse exists. Therefore, the fluctuation-dissipation relation for the irreducible coefficients, Equation (35), is valid for any geometry of the bounding fluid. In particular, it holds for a colloid near a plane wall. Second, our derivation provides the fluctuation-dissipation relation for *all* modes of the Brownian traction. Earlier derivations have focussed on only the force and torque. Therefore, our derivation provides the fluctuating stresslet ($l\sigma = 2s$) which is necessary when computing the Brownian contribution to the suspension stress. Third, the configuration-dependence of both the fluctuation, Equation (26), and the dissipation, Equation (35), is made explicit in our derivation. The configuration-dependent noise variance follows from this automatically. The interpretation of the resulting multiplicative noise in the Langevin equation that we derive below is obtained recalling that the momentum and angular momentum of the colloid are fast variables that have, implicitly, been adiabatically eliminated (Gardiner, 1984). The form of the Smoluchowski equation for this problem is well-known and is used below to consistently interpret the multiplicative noise in the Langevin equation (Chandrasekhar, 1949; Murphy & Aguirre, 1972; Wilemski, 1976).

4. Langevin equations for a spherical active colloid

In this section we derive the Langevin and Smoluchowski equations for the Brownian motion of an active colloid. We use the results derived above for Stokes drag, active thrust and the Brownian forces on the colloid. Using the results of the previous section, the balance conditions, Equation (1), become

$$-\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{TT} \cdot \mathbf{V} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{TR} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega} - \sum_{l\sigma=1s}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(T,l\sigma)} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(l\sigma)} + \hat{\mathbf{F}} + \mathbf{F}^P = 0, \quad (37a)$$

$$-\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{RT} \cdot \mathbf{V} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{RR} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega} - \sum_{l\sigma=1s}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(R,l\sigma)} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(l\sigma)} + \hat{\mathbf{T}} + \mathbf{T}^P = 0. \quad (37b)$$

The above can be inverted to obtain the rigid body motion of the colloid in explicit form. This gives the Langevin equations for a Brownian active colloid with hydrodynamic interactions, first derived heuristically in Laskar and Adhikari (2015),

$$\mathbf{V} = \boldsymbol{\mu}^{TT} \cdot \mathbf{F}^P + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{TR} \cdot \mathbf{T}^P + \sqrt{2k_B T \boldsymbol{\mu}^{TT}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}^T + \sqrt{2k_B T \boldsymbol{\mu}^{TR}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}^R + \sum_{l\sigma=2s}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(T,l\sigma)} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(l\sigma)} + \mathbf{V}^A, \quad (38a)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{RT} \cdot \mathbf{F}^P + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{RR} \cdot \mathbf{T}^P}_{\text{Passive}} + \underbrace{\sqrt{2bk_B T \boldsymbol{\mu}^{RT}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}^T + \sqrt{2k_B T \boldsymbol{\mu}^{RR}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}^R}_{\text{Brownian}} + \sum_{l\sigma=2s}^{\infty} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{(R,l\sigma)} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(l\sigma)} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}^A}_{\text{Active}}. \quad (38b)$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\eta}^\alpha$ are zero-mean unit-variance Gaussian white noises. The mobility matrices $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha\beta}$ are inverses of the friction matrices $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}$ (Brady, Phillips, Lester, & Bossis, 1988; Cichocki, Felderhof, Hinsen, Wajnryb, & Bławdziewicz, 1994; Durllofsky, Brady, & Bossis, 1987; Felderhof, 1977; Happel & Brenner, 1965; Kim & Karrila, 1992; Ladd, 1988; Mazur & Saarloos, 1982; Nunan & Keller, 1984; Schmitz & Felderhof, 1982). The propulsion tensors $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{(\alpha,l\sigma)}$, first introduced in Singh et al. (2015), relate the rigid body motion to modes of the active velocity. They are related to the generalised friction tensors, introduced in Singh and Adhikari (2016a), by

$$-\boldsymbol{\pi}^{(T,l\sigma)} = \boldsymbol{\mu}^{TT} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(T,l\sigma)} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{TR} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(R,l\sigma)}, \quad (39a)$$

$$-\boldsymbol{\pi}^{(R,l\sigma)} = \boldsymbol{\mu}^{RT} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(T,l\sigma)} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{RR} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(R,l\sigma)}. \quad (39b)$$

The translational propulsion tensors $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{(T,l\sigma)}$ are dimensionless while the rotational propulsion tensors $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{(R,l\sigma)}$ have dimensions of inverse length. Stochastic trajectories of motion can be obtained from the kinematic equations

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{V}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{p}} = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{p}, \quad (40)$$

using the standard Ermak-McCammon integrator (Ermak & McCammon, 1978). In this integrator, the noise variances are computed using mobilities in the configuration at time t but a “spurious” drift, proportional to the configurational divergence of the mobilities, is added to arrive at the configuration at time $t + \Delta t$. There is nothing particularly spurious about this drift; it is simply the residual effect of the adiabatically eliminated momentum degrees of freedom.

The Smoluchowski equation for the distribution function $\Psi(\mathbf{R}; \mathbf{p})$ of positions and orientations follows immediately from the Langevin equations. We write it in the form of a conservation law in configuration space

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}\Psi = -(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbf{R}} + \mathbf{p} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbf{p}}) \Psi, \quad (41)$$

where the ‘velocities’ \mathcal{V}_R and \mathcal{V}_p are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_R &= \boldsymbol{\mu}^{TT} \cdot (\mathbf{F}^P - k_B T \nabla_R) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{TR} \cdot (\mathbf{T}^P - k_B T \mathbf{p} \times \nabla_p) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l\sigma=2s}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(T,l\sigma)} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(l\sigma)} + \mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{A}}, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_p &= \boldsymbol{\mu}^{RT} \cdot (\mathbf{F}^P - k_B T \nabla_R) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{RR} \cdot (\mathbf{T}^P - k_B T \mathbf{p} \times \nabla_p) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l\sigma=2s}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(R,l\sigma)} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(l\sigma)} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\mathcal{A}}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Here $\mathbf{F}^P = -\nabla_R U$, $\mathbf{T}^P = -\mathbf{p} \times \nabla_p U$, and U is a potential that contains both positional and orientational interactions. Note the position of the mobility in the second derivative terms: the $\nabla \mu \nabla$ order (in contrast with two other inequivalent permutations) is provided unambiguously by the adiabatic elimination of momenta. In the absence of activity, the equation obeys the fluctuation-dissipation relation and the Gibbs distribution $\Psi \sim \exp(-U/k_B T)$ is the stationary solution. However, the Gibbsian form is not a stationary solution in the presence of the active terms, as can easily be verified by substitution. This applies, a fortiori, to an active colloid near a plane wall discussed in the next section.

5. Brownian active colloid near a plane wall

We now apply the preceding general results to the specific case of an active colloid near a plane wall. The Green’s function for the problem, denoted by \mathbf{G}^W , is taken to vanish at the location of the wall, $z = 0$. The form of the Green’s function, first derived by Lorentz (1896), can be written in the following form due to Blake (1971)

$$G_{\alpha\beta}^W(\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{R}) = G_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}) + G_{\alpha\beta}^*(\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{R}^*) \quad (44)$$

where $G(\mathbf{r}) = -(\nabla \nabla - \nabla^2 \mathbf{I})(r/8\pi\eta)$ is the Oseen tensor and the correction necessary to satisfy the boundary condition is

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha\beta}^* &= \frac{1}{8\pi\eta} \left[-\frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{r^*} - \frac{r_\alpha^* r_\beta^*}{r^{*3}} + 2h^2 \left(\frac{\delta_{\alpha\nu}}{r^{*3}} - \frac{3r_\alpha^* r_\nu^*}{r^{*5}} \right) \mathcal{M}_{\nu\beta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2h \left(\frac{r_3^* \delta_{\alpha\nu} + \delta_{\nu 3} r_\alpha^* - \delta_{\alpha 3} r_\nu^*}{r^{*3}} - \frac{3r_\alpha^* r_\nu^* r_3^*}{r^{*5}} \right) \right] \mathcal{M}_{\nu\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The correction is interpreted as a sum of three images, a Stokeslet, a dipole, and a degenerate quadrupole, located at $\mathbf{R}^* = \mathcal{M} \cdot \mathbf{R}$, where $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{I} - 2\hat{z}\hat{z}$ is the mirror operator with respect to the wall (Berke, Turner, Berg, & Lauga, 2008; Brennen & Winet, 1977; Goldstein, 2015; Lauga & Powers, 2009; Mathijssen, Pushkin, & Yeomans, 2015; Singh & Adhikari, 2016b). Here h is the height of




Figure 1. Fluid flow due to rigid motion and spectral properties of friction tensors for a spherical colloid near a plane wall.

Notes: The first two figures in the top panel show the flow due to translation perpendicular and parallel to the wall. The next two figures show the flow due to rotation perpendicular and parallel to the wall. The first figure in the bottom panel shows eigenvalues, normalized by γ_0^T , of the 6×6 grand friction tensor assembled out of the 3×3 blocks in Table (1). The dashed lines show the eigenvalues of the unregularised tensor and its loss of positive-definiteness for $h < b$. The next two figures show the condition number of the grand friction tensor and its pseudo-spectrum in the complex plane when $h = 1.3b$. Here $\gamma_0^T = 6\pi\eta b$ and $\gamma_0^R = 8\pi\eta b^3$ are, respectively, the friction for translation and rotation in an unbounded fluid.

Table 1. Expressions for the 3×3 friction tensors at a height h from a plane wall, using the result of the first Jacobi iteration (Singh & Adhikari, 2016a) for $h > b$ and its regularized form for $h \leq b$.

$h > b$ (Jacobi iteration)	$h \leq b$ (Regularized)
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{TT} = \gamma_0^T (\mathbf{I} - \gamma_0^T \mathcal{F}^1 \mathcal{F}^1 \mathbf{G}^*)$	$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{TT} = \gamma_0^T \left[\left(1 + \frac{9r^*}{32b} \right) \mathbf{I} - \frac{3\mathbf{r}^* \mathbf{r}^*}{32br^*} \right]$
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{RR} = \gamma_0^R \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\gamma_0^R}{4} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{G}^* \right)$	$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{RR} = \gamma_0^R \left[\left(1 + \frac{27r^*}{32b} - \frac{27r^{*3}}{64b^3} \right) \mathbf{I} - \left(\frac{9r}{32b} - \frac{3r^{*3}}{64b^3} \right) \frac{\mathbf{r}^* \mathbf{r}^*}{r^{*2}} \right]$
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{RT} = -\frac{\gamma_0^R \gamma_0^T}{2} \nabla \times \mathbf{G}^*$	$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{RT} = -\gamma_0^R \left(\frac{2}{b^2} - \frac{3r^*}{4b^3} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{r}^*$

Note: Here $\mathcal{F}^1 = 1 + \frac{b^2}{6} \nabla^2$ is an operator encoding the finite size of the colloid.

the colloid from the wall and $\mathbf{r}^* = \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}^*$. The correction has no singularities in the domain of flow and the only singular contribution there is from the Oseen tensor. The flows produced by a sphere translating or rotating near the wall are shown in the top panels of Figure (1).

The leading terms of the inverse of the single-layer operator are obtained here using Jacobi's iterative method (Singh & Adhikari, 2016a). The results for the friction tensors $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}$ are given in the left column of Table (1). The grand resistance tensor, formed out each of the 3×3 blocks, is manifestly symmetric and, for $h > b$ positive-definite. This is established by an explicit computation of the eigenvalues, shown in the first figure of the bottom panel of Figure (1). Thus positive-definiteness is ensured for all configurations in which the colloid does not overlap with the wall.

In Brownian dynamics simulations, however, it is convenient to avoid hard-sphere potentials as these require special integrators. In the absence of such potentials, it is no longer possible to maintain the constraint $h > b$ during integration, and the colloid may substantially overlap with the wall. For such configurations, a naive continuation of the friction tensors to the domain $h < b$ is untenable: as the dashed lines in the eigenvalue plot in Figure (1) show, the grand resistance tensor has negative eigenvalues in this region. This implies negative entropy production and is clearly unphysical. The cure, first proposed by Rotne and Prager, is to regularize the matrix elements of the single-layer, by computing the surface integrals in their definition, over the union of overlapping surfaces. In this case, the integral is to be computed over the union of the surface of the colloid and its image. Such overlap integrals have been computed recently Wajnryb, Mizerski, Zuk, and Szymczak (2013) and we use those results to obtain the regularized forms of the friction in the right column of Table (1). The use of the regularized form provides friction tensors that are positive-definite for *all* heights, as can be seen from the solid curves for $h < b$ in the eigenvalue plot in Figure (1). Their use results in positive-definite variances for the Brownian force and torque at *all* heights above the wall.

In the second and third figures of the bottom panel, we plot the condition number of the grand resistance tensor as a function of height and its pseudo-spectrum at $h = 1.3b$. The condition number remains small for all heights and the pseudo-spectrum shows no sign of non-normality. Thus, computing the ‘square-root’ Cholesky factors of the grand friction tensor (or, its inverse, the grand mobility tensor) poses no problem and iterative methods are expected to converge rapidly. Brownian dynamics simulations of active colloids near a plane wall can then be efficiently performed using the above results.

6. Discussion

We have shown how to obtain the three parts of the traction, due to rigid body motion, activity and thermal fluctuations, on a spherical colloid in a fluctuating Stokesian fluid. We then applied the general results to the specific case of an active colloid near a plane wall. We provided a regularization of the friction tensors to enable Brownian dynamics simulations in which the spheres can overlap with the walls. We conclude with three remarks about our results.

The first is that expressions in the left column of Table (1) are valid not only for the Lorentz-Blake Green’s function, but for any Green’s function that can be expressed as $\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}^*$, where \mathbf{G} is the Oseen tensor and \mathbf{G}^* is the correction necessary to satisfy the boundary conditions. A variety of Green’s function can be expressed in this form, including those for flow between parallel walls and in periodic domains. As mentioned before, the correction term does not contain singularities in the domain of flow and, therefore, the boundary integrals in the definition of the matrix elements can be expressed in terms of derivatives of the correction. Singular terms from the Oseen tensor can be calculated explicitly using well-known results for integrals of Bessel functions. Therefore, our results for $h > b$ are of broader validity than might have been anticipated.

The second is that active colloids show fascinating behaviour in the proximity of a wall (Palacci, Sacanna, Steinberg, Pine, & Chaikin, 2013; Petroff, Wu, & Libchaber, 2015). While Brownian motion appears to be negligible in comparison to activity-induced motion for a large class of these colloids, there is considerable theoretical interest in understanding the interplay between passive friction, thermal fluctuations, and activity, especially when the friction is configuration-dependent. Brownian dynamics, with the regularized frictions provided here, will be a powerful tool to study such interplays.

Finally, the extension of the method presented here to determine the tractions on the surface of many active colloids is straightforward in principle, but tedious in practice. The result for the active traction has been obtained recently (Singh & Adhikari, 2016a) and a heuristic argument has been provided to determine the first two moments of the Brownian traction. It will be instructive to obtain all moments and, in particular, the symmetric second moment to determine their contribution to the stress in an active Brownian suspension.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- Anderson, J. L. (1989). Colloid transport by interfacial forces. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 21, 61–99.
- Bedeaux, D., & Mazur, P. (1974). Brownian motion and fluctuating hydrodynamics. *Physica*, 76, 247–258.
- Beenakker, C. W. J., & Mazur, P. (1983). Self-diffusion of spheres in a concentrated suspension. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 120, 388–410.
- Berke, A. P., Turner, L., Berg, H. C., & Lauga, E. (2008). Hydrodynamic attraction of swimming microorganisms by surfaces. *Physical Review Letters*, 101, 038102–038107.
- Blake, J. R. (1971). A note on the image system for a stokeslet in a no-slip boundary. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 70, 303–310.
- Brady, J. F., Phillips, R. J., Lester, J. C., & Bossis, G. (1988). Dynamic simulation of hydrodynamically interacting suspensions. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 195, 257–280.
- Brennen, C., & Winet, H. (1977). Fluid mechanics of propulsion by cilia and flagella. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 9, 339–398.
- Brenner, H. (1963). The Stokes resistance of an arbitrary particle. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 18, 1–25.
- Brunn, P. (1976). The effect of Brownian motion for a suspension of spheres. *Rheologica Acta*, 15, 104–119.
- Chandrasekhar, S. (1949). Brownian motion, dynamical friction, and stellar dynamics. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 21, 383.
- Cichocki, B., Felderhof, B. U., Hinsen, K., Wajnryb, E., & Blawdziewicz, J. (1994). Friction and mobility of many spheres in Stokes flow. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 100, 3780–3790.
- Durlafsky, L., Brady, J. F., & Bossis, G. (1987). Dynamic simulation of hydrodynamically interacting particles. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 180, 21–49.
- Ebbens, S. J., & Howse, J. R. (2010). In pursuit of propulsion at the nanoscale. *Soft Matter*, 6, 726–738.
- Ermak, D., & McCammon, J. (1978). Brownian dynamics with hydrodynamic interactions. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 69, 1352.
- Felderhof, B. U. (1976). Force density induced on a sphere in linear hydrodynamics: I. Fixed sphere, stick boundary conditions. *Physica A*, 84, 557–568.
- Felderhof, B. U. (1977). Hydrodynamic interaction between two spheres. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 89, 373–384.
- Fox, R. F., & Uhlenbeck, G. E. (1970). Contributions to non-equilibrium thermodynamics. I. Theory of hydrodynamical fluctuations. *Physical Fluids*, 13, 1893–1902.
- Gardiner, C. W. (1984). Adiabatic elimination in stochastic systems. I. Formulation of methods and application to few-variable systems. *Physical Review A*, 29, 2814–2822.
- Gardiner, C. W. (1985). *Handbook of stochastic methods* (Vol. 3). Berlin: Springer.
- Ghose, S., & Adhikari, R. (2014). Irreducible representations of oscillatory and swirling flows in active soft matter. *Physical Review Letters*, 112, 118102.
- Goldstein, R. E. (2015). Green algae as model organisms for biological fluid dynamics. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 47, 343–375.
- Happel, J., & Brenner, H. (1965). *Low reynolds number hydrodynamics: With special applications to particulate media* (Vol. 1). Prentice-Hall: University of Michigan.

- Hauge, E., & Martin-Löf, A. (1973). Fluctuating hydrodynamics and Brownian motion. *The Journal of Statistical Physics*, 7, 259–281.
- Hess, S. (2015). *Tensors for physics*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-12787-3
- Kampen, V. (1992). *Stochastic processes in physics and chemistry* (Vol. 1). North-Holland, Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Kim, S., & Karrila, S. J. (1992). *Microhydrodynamics: Principles and selected applications*. Stoneham, MA: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Klimontovich, Y. L. (1990). Ito, Stratonovich and kinetic forms of stochastic equations. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 163, 515–532.
- Klimontovich, Y. L. (1994). Nonlinear Brownian motion. *Physics-Uspekhi*, 37, 737–766.
- Ladd, A. J. C. (1988). Hydrodynamic interactions in a suspension of spherical particles. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 88, 505–563.
- Landau, L. D., & Lifshitz, E. M. (1959). *Fluid mechanics* (Vol. 6). New York, NY: Pergamon Press.
- Laskar, A., & Adhikari, R. (2015). Brownian microhydrodynamics of active filaments. *Soft matter*, 11, 9073–9085.
- Lau, A. W., & Lubensky, T. C. (2007). State-dependent diffusion: Thermodynamic consistency and its path integral formulation. *Physical Review E*, 76, 011123.
- Lauga, E., & Powers, T. (2009). The hydrodynamics of swimming microorganisms. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 72, 096601.
- Lorentz, H. A. (1896). A general theorem concerning the motion of a viscous fluid and a few consequences derived from it. *Versl. Konigl. Akad. Wetensch. Amsterdam*, 5, 168–175.
- Mannella, R., & McClintock, P. (2011). Comment on “Influence of Noise on Force Measurements”. *Physical Review Letters*, 107, 078901.
- Mathijssen, A. J. T. M., Pushkin, D. O., & Yeomans, J. M. (2015). Tracer trajectories and displacement due to a micro-swimmer near a surface. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 773, 498–519.
- Mazur, P., & Saarloos, W. V. (1982). Many-sphere hydrodynamic interactions and mobilities in a suspension. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 115, 21–57.
- Murphy, T. J., & Aguirre, J. L. (1972). Brownian motion of N interacting particles. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 57, 2098–2104.
- Nunan, K. C., & Keller, J. B. (1984). Effective viscosity of a periodic suspension. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 142, 269–287.
- Palacci, J., Sacanna, S., Steinberg, A. P., Pine, D. J., & Chaikin, P. M. (2013). Living crystals of light-activated colloidal surfers. *Science*, 339, 936–940.
- Petroff, A. P., Wu, X.-L., & Libchaber, A. (2015). Fast-moving bacteria self-organize into active two-dimensional crystals of rotating cells. *Physical Review Letters*, 114, 158102.
- Roux, J.-N. (1992). Brownian particles at different times scales: a new derivation of the Smoluchowski equation. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 188, 526–552.
- Schmitz, R. (1980). Force multipole moments for a spherically symmetric particle in solution. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 102, 161–178.
- Schmitz, R., & Felderhof, B. U. (1982). Mobility matrix for two spherical particles with hydrodynamic interaction. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 116, 163–177.
- Singh, R., & Adhikari, R. (2016a). Generalized Stokes laws for active colloids and their applications. arXiv:1603.05735.
- Singh, R., & Adhikari, R. (2016b). Universal hydrodynamic mechanisms for crystallization in active colloidal suspensions. *Physical Review Letters*, 117, 228002.

- Singh, R., Ghose, S., & Adhikari, R. (2015). Many-body microhydrodynamics of colloidal particles with active boundary layers. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics*, 2015, P06017.
- Van Kampen, N. G. (1981). Itô versus Stratonovich. *The Journal of Statistical Physics*, 24, 175–187.
- Volpe, G., Helden, L., Brettschneider, T., Wehr, J., & Bechinger, C. (2010). Influence of noise on force measurements. *Physical Review Letters*, 104, 170602.
- Volpe, G., & Wehr, J. (2016). Effective drifts in dynamical systems with multiplicative noise: A review of recent progress. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 79, 053901.
- Wajnryb, E., Mizerski, K. A., Zuk, P. J., & Szymczak, P. (2013). Generalization of the Rotne–Prager–Yamakawa mobility and shear disturbance tensors. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 731, R3.
- Wilemski, G. (1976). On the derivation of Smoluchowski equations with corrections in the classical theory of Brownian motion. *The Journal of Statistical Physics*, 14, 153–169.
- Zwanzig, R. (1964). Hydrodynamic fluctuations and Stokes law friction. *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards (US) B* 68, 143–145.