Setting Standards for Personal Health Data in the Age of 5G and 6G Networks
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Abstract

Electronic health records (EHRs) play a vital role in simplifying thorough and effective patient treatment, promoting smooth exchange of information between medical professionals, and enhancing the process of making clinical decisions. With the increasing adoption of sensor-embedded smart wearables and home automation devices, new opportunities arise for innovative solutions in various sectors, such as eHealth. In the age of 5G and 6G, the potential of utilizing user-collected health data becomes vast, promising significant improvements in people’s health and well-being. Realizing continuous healthcare access takes a step closer to reality by equipping EHRs to effectively store and interpret data collected by these sensors. This would result in personalized medical services that adhere to standardized practices. This paper presents a comprehensive review of contemporary advancements in the realm of standardization methods aimed at managing personal health data. The study delves into an extensive analysis of state-of-the-art solutions.
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that have emerged to address the intricate challenges associated with the harmonization and uniformity of personal health information. By systematically examining these cutting-edge approaches, the review elucidates the diverse strategies employed to establish a cohesive framework for organizing, storing, and exchanging personal health data. Furthermore, the review critically evaluates the effectiveness and limitations of each solution in terms of promoting interoperability, safeguarding data privacy, and facilitating seamless data sharing among healthcare stakeholders. Furthermore, this paper then presents an approach to standardize the data by establishing semantic constraints for healthcare data types and proposing a validation procedure to ensure compliance with relevant standards and regulations.
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1 Introduction

Modern electronic health record (EHR) systems are, in major part, web-oriented, utilizing both client- and server-side elements, employing relational databases, enabling secure data accessing through authorized mechanisms, and facilitating interoperability across a spectrum of healthcare entities such as hospitals, doctors, and pharmacies. With the ongoing rapid growth of the worldwide market for wearable fitness trackers, integrating their data into EHRs in a standardized manner offers substantial potential for improving personalized healthcare provision, encouraging preventive medicine, and propelling advancements in medical research and patient outcomes. In accordance with the findings of a study [1], the wearable fitness tracker industry is poised to experience noteworthy growth of around 80 million euros between 2020 and 2027, displaying a CAGR of 22.49%. Furthermore, as outlined in report [2], the global smartwatch market is anticipated to witness a remarkable surge, with shipments reaching 43.89 million in 2018 and projected to escalate up to 110 million by 2024, manifesting a CAGR of 14.55% during the projection period spanning between 2019 and 2024. Furthermore, according to reports [3] and [4], there is a noteworthy expansion projected in the market for the Internet of Medical Things (IoMT), with an expected CAGR of 20% and a projected value surpassing 455 billion euros by 2025. The upcoming Fifth Generation (5G) and anticipated Sixth Generation (6G) mobile networks are predicted to display enhanced performance attributes, including swifter speeds, decreased latency, heightened reliability,
and expanded accessibility. With these superior capabilities, the technologies of 5G and 6G networks are positioned to substantially amplify communication between IoMT devices and cloud platforms, consequently resulting in enhanced performance and heightened Quality of Service (QoS) in the domain of telemedicine. The integration of these advanced network systems with IoMT and Artificial Intelligence (AI) solutions holds the potential to streamline patient monitoring procedures, rendering them more convenient and effective. Importantly, it should be noted that data can originate from diverse origins, encompassing varied sensor types and multiple manufacturers that employ their distinct data processing algorithms. The initial phase encompasses addressing discrepancies, aligning the data, merging diverse datasets, and consolidating them into a coherent and unified entity. Once the data aggregation is achieved, it becomes imperative to ensure its conformity to a standardized structure, irrespective of its source. The most prevalent EHR standards include Health Level 7 (HL7), with the latest incarnation being HL7 Fast Health Interoperability Resources (FHIR). Section 2 provides a concise overview of pertinent EHR architecture and sensors in healthcare, presenting a snapshot of the latest advanced solutions. Section 3 covers standardization and delineates the suggested definition of semantic data limitations specific to chosen health-related data categories. Section 4 delves into the executed validation procedure, while Section V delivers the final thoughts and conclusions.

2 Related Research: EHR and Sensors in Healthcare

During 1970s, the first EHR was developed at The Regenstrief Institute in Indianapolis after consulting with computer science experts from Purdue University [5] and integrated prescriptions and medication orders, procedures done, laboratory tests, etc. Development of EHRs between 1972 and 1992 included hierarchical or relational databases and where EHRs were deployed on large mainframe computers with limited storage [6]. Web-based EHRs started to appear between 1980 and 1990, as a consequence of hardware becoming more affordable, powerful, compact and the appearance of Internet [7]. As third-party applications were beginning to be used within EHRs, standards were required. In early 2000s, Health Level Seven (HL7) and IEEE P1157 MEDIX served as the primary interface standards. This was necessary to disambiguate data element definitions and use standardized dictionary codes. Eventually, the HL7 standard was updated and expanded to incorporate numerous systems. Between 1991 and 2005, large healthcare providers,
academic researchers, and government organizations started to push for the use of EHR, first in the USA and, to lesser extent, Canada, proceeded by the United Kingdom, Switzerland, the Netherlands and Norway [8]. Since 2005, EHR use has been steadily increasing in majority of European countries, Australia, and Asia. Most modern EHRs are web-based with client and server side, use relational databases, provide secure authorization-based data access, and allow interoperation of multiple entities, such as hospitals, physicians, or pharmacies. Figure 1 shows the timeline of EHR development, while architecture of a modern EHR is given in Figure 2.

Sensors are ubiquitous in today’s world, and are used in lifestyle, healthcare, fitness, manufacturing, and daily life [9]. Sensors are the most commonly used monitoring technology, offering the collection of data from
Figure 3  Historical timeline of the development of various sensors with respect to materials (blue), sensor technologies (orange) and biotechnology (green).
the environment within short time period, and often connect to the cloud through the use of various communications and transport modes, e.g., mobile networks, satellite networks, Bluetooth, broad-based networks, low-energy wide-band networks, etc. Historical timeline of the discovery of various sensors and their development with respect to materials (blue), sensor technologies (orange), and biotechnology (green) is given in Figure 3. Discovery and improvement of various (bio)materials and sensing technologies has played an essential part in developing new sensors. The development of new functional materials frequently needs to be paired with advances in other fields to produce completely novel classes of sensors. Materials play a fundamental role in the development of advanced disposable sensing devices [10], for cost reduction, environmental impact and improvement of performance and usability. Today, modern wearable fitness trackers contain various sensors and keep track of various health-related parameters. Table 1 lists sensors which can be commonly found in modern wearable activity trackers.

The data collected via sensors is then processed using manufacturer’s proprietary algorithms in order to generate more detailed information, e.g., the data collected by the 3-axis accelerometer is used to calculate how many steps the user has taken, what was their speed, and at what pace, as well as the calculation of how many calories were likely burned. Most common measured metrics are given in Table 2.

However, medical devices and related services need to consistently fulfill the regulatory requirements specified in standard ISO 13485:2016 [11]. This encompasses design and development, manufacture, storage, as well as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Most common sensors in wearable activity trackers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-axis accelerometer</td>
<td>Senses motion and movement on three axes, using measurements of velocity and position. It senses inclination, tilt and orientation of the body. Ubiquitous in fitness trackers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyroscope</td>
<td>Measures orientation and rotation, used for navigation and measuring angular velocity. 3-axis gyroscope combined with 3-axis accelerometer provides “6 degree of freedom” motion tracking system that’s used in majority of fitness trackers as it is useful when tracing workout motions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature sensor</td>
<td>Senses temperature. Combined with motion readings, measures physical activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioimpedance sensor</td>
<td>Measures galvanic skin response (resistance to small electric current). Used to interpret activity and collect heart rate data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical sensor</td>
<td>Preferred way to measuring heart rate using light.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altimeter</td>
<td>Measures altitude by using pressure sensing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2  Most common metrics measured or calculated by wearable activity trackers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steps taken</td>
<td>3-axis accelerometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance covered</td>
<td>3-axis accelerometer and gyroscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floors climbed</td>
<td>Altimeter. This metric is also used for calculating calories expenditure and workout.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>Optical sensor uses light and reflection to check the speed of blood flow on the wrist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body temperature</td>
<td>Measures temperature, also used to calculate physical activity and menstrual cycle as well as detect health issues (e.g., fever).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen saturation (SpO2)</td>
<td>Deoxygenated blood in veins is of a darker red color than the oxygen-filled blood in the arteries. Sensor measures relative reflection of red and infrared light. SpO2 value is estimated taking into account heartbeat rate as well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise time and calories burned</td>
<td>Both are calculated taking into account steps taken, distance covered, movement, velocity, and altitude, as well as heart rate, and body temperature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration and sleep quality</td>
<td>Estimated by monitoring body movements, changes in heartbeat rate, body temperature, and oxygen saturation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

distribution, installation, and maintenance of the device. Standards regarding diagnostic equipment, including medical monitoring equipment, medical thermometers and related materials are under ICS code 11.040.55, such as ISO 80601-2-56:2017 [12] for clinical thermometers for measurement of body temperature. Testing, sampling, and calibration are generally covered by the standard ISO 17025:2017 [13]. The data must be accurate, precise, and error-free in order to be used in a formal medical practice. In this context, it is necessary to revise how to guarantee the quality of data collected by fitness trackers and ensure the data is in compliance to relevant medical standards and regulations.

3 Standardization and Specification of Semantic Data Constraints

The importance of standardization lies in its capacity to enable smooth compatibility and interaction between EHR systems and other healthcare platforms. Two notable standards applied in EHR systems are Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise (IHE) and the previously mentioned HL7. IHE sets up an all-encompassing structure of technical standards to integrate healthcare systems, while HL7 defines a series of communication standards for sharing healthcare data. The Croatian national Health Information Service (HIS),
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referred to as eKarton [14], is built upon the bedrock of HL7 standards. These standards are also employed in various other nations including Finland, Norway, Sweden, Iceland, and India. Within the HIS, the handling of health information exchange between databases and electronic health records (EHRs) is overseen by the Messages management system. These messages, often referred to as transactions, are precisely delineated in the IHE Technical Frameworks (IHE TF) [15]. IHE TF outlines the implementation of pre-established standards to ensure reliable medical data exchange, facilitate practical and efficient system integration, and enhance patient care quality. The HL7 standard forms the basis for file sharing. A collection of documents along with associated metadata are exchanged through the ITI-41 transaction, known as Provide and Register Document Set-b. Incoming requests are transformed through unmarshalling, which converts the incoming data stream into an HL7 Resource object (for write operations) or message header parameters (for search operations). In case of unmarshalling failure, an exception is triggered. Depending on the actors and workflows involved in the transaction, the documents and metadata can be managed, processed, and stored for future retrieval. These documents and related metadata are dispatched from a content sender to a content receiver. These occurrences can be initiated through human decisions or automated actions performed by applications aiming to submit documents to a Content Receiver, like an HIS repository. For retrieving a document from an HIS repository, the ITI-43 transaction, Retrieve Document Set, is employed. This process is illustrated in Figure 4. The IHE Profile utilizes HTTP, Web Services, IT presentation formats, and the HL7 Clinical Documentation Architecture (CDA). This facilitates the handling of HL7 Resources within the EHR system. The xds-iti43 component provides interfaces for entities sending and receiving ITI-43 messages. The endpoint URI format for the ITI-43 component is defined as:

\[xds-iti-43://hostname:port/service-path[?params]\]

Here, hostname refers to the domain name or IP address, service represents the service path, and params are optional parameters. An instance of the exposed FHIR REST Service endpoint would consequently be, for example:

\[http://ekarton-server.org:8888/IHE/xds/iti43\]

Existing EHR implementations already utilize ITI messages in conjunction with HL7 standards, featuring predefined and implemented service endpoints for various ITI messages.
Previous research [16] and [17] thoroughly investigate the essential prerequisites and potential remedies for seamlessly incorporating external data into EHR systems, as they have evolved into a fundamental element of the core health information infrastructure in a significant number of European countries.

FHIR stands as a protocol for healthcare data exchange, with its publication by HL7 and application in the electronic transfer of medical information. FHIR serves as a critical bridge in the modern healthcare landscape, enabling the secure and efficient sharing of patient-related data between different systems, applications, and healthcare providers. Its fundamental element is a Resource, as illustrated in Figure 5, encompassing metadata, standardized data, and a human-readable section. In the realm of wearable sensor technology, the proliferation of devices has led to an explosion in data generation. On a daily basis, a single device can produce an overwhelming excess of 4 million data points through its sensors. This constant stream of data translates into substantial data files that require careful processing to extract meaningful insights. To achieve this, the raw health data collected from wearable devices is first consolidated and harmonized. It then undergoes a comprehensive processing phase where it is cleansed, organized, and transformed into a format suitable for further analysis. This processed data is then mapped into the HL7 FHIR standard, effectively translating the wealth of sensor data into a structured framework that is
consistent with modern healthcare practices. By aligning the processed data with the HL7 FHIR format, healthcare professionals gain the ability to seamlessly integrate this information into a patient’s EHR. In essence, FHIR provides the structure and standardization necessary to bridge the gap between wearable device-generated data and the formal Electronic Health Record.

A majority of commercially available wearable sensor trackers, such as Fitbit [19], typically store the data they gather in the JSON (JavaScript Object Notation) format. This format is widely recognized for its flexibility and ease of use, making it a preferred choice for encapsulating various types of information. However, when dealing with the significant amounts of data
generated by these devices, efficient handling becomes paramount. The parser responsible for extracting and organizing this data must be robust and capable of managing substantial data volumes without crashing or compromising performance. Effective support for large volumes of data is crucial for the parser’s functionality, ensuring both reliability and stability. To address this, a JSON parser (depicted in Figure 6) was devised and implemented in Python using the pysimdjson module, with acceleration through SIMD (single instruction, multiple data) technology, enabling data processing speeds of up to 2.2 GB/s. This high processing efficiency is crucial for wearable sensor trackers, which can generate a substantial amount of data points over time. The parser operates in two main stages, with a translator component in between: the initial stage handles input data in 64-byte batches, while the subsequent stage constructs a “tape representation” post-translation. This two-stage parsing structure was tested using the OxyBeat dataset [20], containing heart rate, body temperature, and oxygen saturation (SpO2) data collected over two months from a Fitbit Versa 3 device, resulting in over 2 million datapoints. The development of this accelerated JSON parser addresses the crucial need for efficient data processing in wearable sensor trackers. By enabling rapid and reliable extraction of information from the JSON format, this parser facilitates the seamless integration of data into various applications, such as electronic health records, enabling improved healthcare monitoring and analysis.

---

**Figure 6**  Process of data parsing.
Pseudocode for data parsing process, including the algorithm used to extract and convert data within the Translator component is also given as follows:

1. Identify structural characters (braces, brackets, colons, commas)
2. Identify pseudostuctural characters (null, true, false, numerical value)
3. Index the data
   Let data be an empty array
   For each character in JSON_data:
     If the character is a brace or bracket, push it onto the data array
     If the character is a colon or comma, push it onto the data array
     If the character is a quotation mark, push the entire string within the quotes onto the data array
     If the character is a pseudostuctural character or numerical value, push it onto the data array
4. Validate UTF-8 encoding
5. Translate data into HL7 compliant format
   Extract non-HL7 data and convert to HL7-compliant data
   Input: list of indexed data lines from JSON (L) that need to be translated
   Input: name ID to verify type of Resource (R)
   Output: data mapped to correct HL7 resource type (M)
   Use name M to identify resource type (R) using keywords from Resource IDS (K)
   var: current time (t) to convert
   Loop for each t in L:
     var: list of elements (E)
     split(t) into E
     for e in E:
       var: e in E is current element
       Loop for each e in E:
         var: current HL7 element m(K,e)
         correction(e)
         tag(m(e),11)
         add to output map M(k,e)
   done
   done
6. Creating element tree
   Let root be a new XML element called "health_data"
   For each item in HL7_data:
     let element be a new XML element with the name of the HL7 element and the value of the HL7 element
     append the element to the root element
7. Create XML document
   Let xml_doc be a new XML document
   append the root element to the xml_doc
   return xml_doc as a string of XML-formatted data

The algorithm’s input parameters consist of the indexed data lines retrieved from the JSON parser (L), as well as an identifying name ID to designate the specific Resource (R). The desired outcome is a data map encompassing elements of a specific HL7 Resource. Each data line is fragmented into separate tokens. For every token, the corresponding element within the Resource is located (K). These elements are then incorporated into an output map, where the values (e) are associated with the specific elements (K) of the designated Resource (R). Subsequently, this assembled information is fed into an XML parser. Consequently, the accumulation of readings spanning two months for each of the three scrutinized data types results in JSON files exceeding 50MB, containing a dataset comprising more than 2 million datapoints. This data was efficiently processed by a Ryzen 5 5600X six-core processor, operating at a clock speed of 3.7 GHz in 64-bit mode, completing the analysis within a 58-second timeframe. This thorough evaluation encompassed all data points, and it achieved a reliability rate of 100 percent.
4 Validation Process

In its initial stages, the exclusive approach to validating XML documents relied on schema validation. This validation process established the validity of an XML document solely if it adhered strictly to the specified schema. While schema validation ensured the structural accuracy of the document, it fell short in verifying conditional and integrity-related criteria. To effectively validate a personal healthcare record, the recommended method involves a two-step process: initially validating the document’s structure, followed by assessing the content and inherent characteristics. Additionally, any supplementary limitations present must be subjected to validation. Schematron, an advanced structural schema validation language, operates on a foundation of rules expressed in Extensible Markup Language (XML). It stands out by enabling assertions regarding the presence or absence of specific patterns within XML trees. Unlike other XML schema languages like XML Schema and Document Type Definition (DTD), Schematron is uniquely capable of imposing restrictions in a manner that goes beyond what these other languages can achieve (as depicted in Figure 7).

The foundational structure of ISO Schematron is constructed as a series of four sequential stages within an Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformations (XSLT) pipeline [21]. XSLT functions as a declarative language specifically designed for the transformation of XML documents into various other formats, such as plain text, HTML, or another XML format. Forming an integral part of the Extensible Stylesheet Language (XSL) family, XSLT is frequently employed alongside Extensible Stylesheet Language Formatting

![Figure 7](image-url)  
**Figure 7** Classification of schema languages.
Objects (XSL-FO) to generate printable documents. Employing XML-based templates and rules, XSLT delineates how to convert an input XML document into the desired output format. The mechanism of XSLT involves the application of templates to nodes within the input XML document, aligning with specified patterns within the XSLT stylesheet. Each template incorporates instructions on how to convert the matched node and its associated children into the targeted output format. The initial two stages serve as macro-processors, offering value primarily when intricate features are in use. In summary, the process of Schematron validation involves the creation of a Schematron schema, subsequent compilation of this schema into an XSLT stylesheet, application of the stylesheet to the XML document utilizing an XSLT processor, processing the intermediate result with an XSLT checker, and eventually producing a comprehensive validation report that highlights any encountered errors or warnings. This multifaceted process is illustrated in Figure 8. To ensure the smooth transfer and integration of personal health data, it’s crucial to recognize that distinct XML syntaxes are employed by different devices to express similar health-related information, such as heart rate measurements. For effective data interchange, XML documents must satisfy the criteria of constituting a comprehensive FHIR resource while maintaining acceptable syntax. To streamline this process, the objective is to create and maintain a single Schematron document capable of accommodating analogous health data originating from diverse personal tracking devices.

Schematron proves instrumental in establishing relationships or constraints where the existence of one element depends on another, as well as in enforcing the presence of attributes within specific elements. The power of Schematron lies in its ability to incorporate intricate rules and restrictions necessary for semantic validation. Schematron rules are articulated using the rule element, which includes a context property that employs an XPath Expression to specify one or more nodes within the document. This context
attribute delineates the scope where the assertion applies. In the illustrated example, the context is set to the Observation element, signifying that the Schematron rule pertains to the Observation element (as illustrated in Figure 9). Within the Schematron schema, the assertion element comes into play, defining data constraints to be assessed within the specified context of the XML document. This schema encapsulates a dynamic toolset to facilitate the standardization and validation of health data, ensuring interoperability across a diverse range of personal tracking devices. The FHIR HeartRate Structure Definition furnishes an outline of data pertaining to heart rate measurements. The Schematron rules for validating heart rate data are illustrated in Figure 10. For effective validation of heart rate information, the Schematron framework must be equipped with the designated rules to meet all the previously mentioned criteria and to align with established standards.
The dataset employed includes two additional health-related data types, body temperature (Figure 11) and oxygen saturation (Figure 12), which underwent identical processes of constraint specification, verification, and validation.

Below is the data after the transformation process, adhering to all the rules in the abovementioned schematron for its particular data type (heartbeat rate).

The procedure of validating XML data against a Schematron leads to the generation of a report indicating any instances of rule violations, if present. Numerous libraries exist for XML validation with Schematron across different programming languages, Java included. Among the widely used...
Figure 11  Body temperature Schematron for data validation.

Figure 12  Oxygen saturation Schematron for data validation.
Figure 13  HL7 compliant heartbeat rate data.

Schematron validation libraries for Java, the “Saxon” library stands out, offering comprehensive support not only for Schematron validation but also for XSLT and XQuery processing. Saxon is an open-source library suitable for deployment in both commercial and non-commercial software applications. For performing Schematron validation using Saxon in a Java context, the following approach was adopted:

```java
// Load the XML and Schematron files
DocumentBuilderFactory factory = DocumentBuilderFactory.newInstance();
DocumentBuilder builder = factory.newDocumentBuilder();
Document xml = builder.parse(new File("sample.xml"));
Source schematron = new StreamSource(new File("schematron.sch"));

// Create a Schematron validator
Processor processor = new Processor(false);
XsltCompiler compiler = processor.newXsltCompiler();
XsltExecutable exec = compiler.compile(schematron);
XsltTransformer transformer = exec.load();

// Validate the XML
transformer.setSource(new DOMSource(xml));
transformer.setOutput(new NullOutput());
transformer.transform();
```
This piece of code utilizes the Saxon library to load both the XML and Schematron files. It then proceeds to establish a Schematron validator, employing it to validate the XML against the designated Schematron rules. Comparable libraries for Schematron validation are also available in other programming languages. Examples include “libxml2” for C/C++, “lxml” for Python, and “Xerces” for both Java and C++. The selection of the appropriate library and corresponding code hinges on the programming language and environment of the Health Information System (HIS) in question, in this scenario, Java.

When the message contains data as displayed in the aforementioned Figure 11, the resulting report confirms the data’s validity. Conversely, if the data, as illustrated in Figure 14, fails to adhere to all stipulated rules, the outcome is depicted in Figure 15.

Upon inspection, it is clear that the observation code does not match the necessary heartbeat rate LOINC code. Furthermore, the patient is not defined.

Figure 14 Non-compliant heartbeat rate data.

Figure 15 Negative report of Schematron validation or heartbeat rate.
Finally, the datetime field doesn’t have proper format. Thus, the received data is not a proper FHIR resource and does not comply with the specification and HL7 standard.

5 Conclusion

The potential for enhancing personalized healthcare through the utilization of data collected via personal wireless trackers is substantial. One key factor contributing to this potential is the widespread availability of these trackers, such as smartwatches and fitness bands. These devices have gained popularity due to their affordability and practicality, leading to their increasing prevalence. Moreover, these trackers continuously gather data, offering healthcare professionals more comprehensive and detailed insights into individuals’ daily conditions. This wealth of information empowers healthcare practitioners to make better-informed decisions. Additionally, the advancement of 5G and 6G network technologies further enhances communication between Internet of Medical Things (IoMT) devices and cloud platforms. This advancement translates to improved performance and Quality of Service (QoS). Nevertheless, the integration of personal health data into formal medical information systems comes with challenges. These challenges necessitate important steps such as syntax verification and semantic validation of medical data. Adhering to standards and regulations, along with ensuring proper data structure definition (DSD), becomes crucial for seamless communication. Successfully addressing these challenges would enable Electronic Health Records (EHRs) to accommodate processed sensor data that aligns with established standards and holds clinical relevance. The outcome would be a standardized approach to personalizing medical services, representing a notable stride towards ensuring accessible and uninterrupted care.

This research introduces a comprehensive approach that involves specifying semantic data constraints and validating the information obtained from wearable smart devices. This validation process is executed through a Schematron that aligns with internationally recognized Electronic Health Record (EHR) standards and regulations as defined by Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise (IHE) and Health Level 7 (HL7). A pivotal element in contemporary healthcare data exchange is Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR), which serves as a crucial link between data generated by wearable devices and the structured, standardized format required by formal Electronic Health Records. The integration of FHIR signifies a significant advancement in healthcare technology, as it establishes a bridge between
the data generated by wearable devices and the formal Electronic Health Record system. This bridging process holds immense potential, not only for enhancing patient care but also for driving forward medical progress through the utilization of data-driven insights. It marks the advent of a new era in healthcare, one that is fueled by the valuable information gathered from wearable devices. To ensure adherence to the set standards and regulations, semantic constraints were meticulously defined for healthcare data types. This involved creating a framework that outlines the permissible limits and characteristics of the collected data. Additionally, a well-structured validation process was meticulously developed and modeled. This process ensures the seamless transfer and integration of the acquired data into an official Electronic Health Record (EHR) system, maintaining data accuracy, consistency, and compliance throughout. The result is a robust foundation for leveraging wearable technology’s potential to revolutionize patient care and accelerate medical advancements.
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