Measuring the Savings from Energy Control Window Film Installation Using IPMVP Options C and D

Steve DeBusk, M.S., CEM, CMVP Energy Solutions Manager CPFilms, Inc. Martinsville, Virginia

ABSTRACT

Building owners and property managers have provided numerous reports of the energy savings obtained from the retrofit installation of energy control window film to existing buildings. In many cases, substantial energy savings are reported with simple paybacks often within one to four years. However, such claims have not been produced using the rigorous methods prescribed by the International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol (IPMVP, see www.ipmvp.org).

To provide for more definitive evidence of the savings from the use of energy control window films, CPFilms undertook a demonstration project to install window film on a mid-size commercial office building and then measure the resulting energy savings using two separate IPMVP methods. The measurement of savings involved the use of IPMVP Option C (whole-building method using utility bill analysis) and Option D (calibrated simulation). During the twelve months following film installation, Option C measured savings of 8.8 percent were achieved, which includes savings over both the cooling and heating seasons. This compares favorably to Option D, calculated savings of 8.4 percent that were estimated prior to film installation. Both estimates of energy savings demonstrate a simple payback in less than three years. Get the facts on important new *developments in microturbine* technologie2005, Vol. 24, No. 4

GUIDE TO MICROTURBINES



By Bernard F. Kolanowski

This book will bring you up to speed on all aspects of microturbines, covering the full scope of technologies now available, as well as where and how they are being applied, and how their increased use will impact the electric power industry in the years ahead. The first section examines how microturbines work, their use for power production, fuel variations, environmental advantages, and how they are connected with utility systems. The second section focuses on applications and case histories, examining use of microturbines for distributed generation, cogeneration, waste fuels, peak saving, and cooling applications. Also covered are permitting, project financing, and available government resources, and what the future holds.

ISBN: 0-88173-418-7

ORDER CODE: 0518

6 x 9, 236 pp., Illus. Hardcover, \$98.00

CONTENTS

1 - Introduction and History of the Microturbine

- 2 Design Goals and Achievements for the Microturbine
- 3 Dual Mode Operation
- 4 Operational and Environmental Characteristics
- 5 Markets for the Microturbine
- 6 Manufacturers of Microturbines
- 7 Operating Histories
- 8 Realism in the Future

Index

Appendix A - Case Histories of

Microturbines in Various Applications Appendix B - Installation Standards for Microturbines Appendix C – Microturbine Power Conversion Technology Review

BOOK ORDER FORM.

(1) Complete quantity and amount due for each book you wish to order:

Quantity		Book Title			Order Code	Price	Amount Due
	Guide to Microturbines				0518	\$98.00	
	ndicate shipping address:	CODE: Jou	ırnal 2	004 Aj	pplicable	Discount	
		BUGINEGS BUONE			Georgia add 6%	Residents Sales Tax	
NAME (F	lease print)	BUSINESS PHONE			Ship	ping Fees	9.00
SIGNATU	JRE (Required to process order)					TOTAL	
COMPAN STREET	NY ADDRESS ONLY (No P.O. Box)			MEMBER DIS A 15% discoun	COUNTS t is allowed to oer (Membe	to AEE membe er No	rs)
CITY, ST.	ATE, ZIP elect method of payment:	Make check payable in U.S. funds to:	١	AEE BOOKS P.O. Box 102 Lilburn, GA 3	6 6 30048	INTERNET www.aee	ORDERING center.org
CHECK ENCLOSED AEE ENERGY BOOKS CHARGE TO MY CREDIT CARD AMERICAN EXPRESS VISA MASTERCARD			TO ORDER BY P Use your credit card a (770) 925-9	HONE and call: 558	TO ORD Complete (770) 33	2 R BY FAX and Fax to: 81-9865	
CARI	D NO.			INT Must be prepaid in U.S. of \$10.00 per book plus	ERNATION dollars and r 15% for shipp	AL ORDERS nust include an ping and handlir	additional charge ng by surface mail.

Your complete guide to HVAC system selection and efficient operation... 30 Strategic Plan

HVAC FUNDAMENTALS



By Samuel C. Sugarman

This master volume covers the full range of HVAC systems used in today's facilities. Comprehensive in scope, the text is intended to provide the reader with a clear understanding of how HVAC systems operate, as well as how to select the right system and system components to achieve optimum performance and efficiency for a particular application. You'll learn the specific ways in which each system, subsystem or component contributes to providing the desired indoor environment, as well as what factors have an impact on energy conservation, indoor air quality and cost. Examined in detail are compressors, water chillers, fans and fan drives, air distribution and variable air volume, pumps and water distribution, controls and their components, heat recovery, and energy conservation strategies. Also covered are heat flow fundamentals, as well as heat flow calculations used in selecting equipment and determining system operating performance and costs.

ISBN: 0-88173-489-6

ORDER CODE: 0540

6 x 9, 230 pp., Illus. Hardcover, \$98.00

BOOK ORDER FORM

(1) Complete quantity and amount due for each book you wish to order:

Quantity	Book Title	Order Code	Price	Amount Due
	HVAC Fundamentals	0540	\$98.00	
	ndicate shipping address: CODE: Journal 2004	Applicable	Discount	
	Please nrint) RUSINESS PHONE	Georgia add 6%	Residents Sales Tax	
White (Frease plint) DUSINESS FROM		Shipping Fees 9.00		9.00
SIGNATU	URE (Required to process order)		TOTAL	
COMPAN	NY MEN ADDRESS ONLY (No P.O. Box)	MBER DISCOUNTS % discount is allowed AEE Member (Membe	to AEE membe er No	rs.
CITY, ST	ATE, ZIP Make check payable in U.S. funds to: Sent P.O. Lilbu	d your order to: E BOOKS . Box 1026 urn, GA 30048	INTERNET www.aee	ORDERING center.org
CHECK ENCLOSED AEE ENERGY BOOKS CHARGE TO MY CREDIT CARD american express VISA MASTERCARD AMERICAN EXPRESS CARD NO. Emiration date Signature		O ORDER BY PHONE ise your credit card and call:TO ORDER BY FAX Complete and Fax to:770) 925-9558(770) 381-9865		ER BY FAX and Fax to: B1-9865
		INTERNATIONAL ORDERS be prepaid in U.S. dollars and must include an additional charge 0.00 per book plus 15% for shipping and handling by surface mail.		



-CONTENTS

- 1 HVAC Systems
- 2 Heat Flow
- 3 Heating & Ventilating Systems
- 4 Air Conditioning Systems
- 5 Compressors
- 6 Water Chillers
- 7 Fans
- 8 Air Distribution
- 9 Variable Air Volume
- 10 Pumps & Water Distribution
- 11 Control Systems
- 12 Control System Components
- 13 Choosing an HVAC System
- 14 Heat Recovery
- 15 Energy Conservation Opportunities
- 16 Central Plant Water Chiller Optimization
- 17 Fan Drives
- 18 Terminology 19 – HVAC Timeline
- Index

Spring 2005, Vol. 24, No. 4

PROJECT OVERVIEW

In early 2002, CPFilms completed installation of 9,200 square feet of energy control window film on an eight-story building in Rockford, Illinois (near Chicago). Figure 1 shows the building chosen for the demonstration project following completion of window film installation. The building's conditioned space is 59,000 square feet, and the building's windows are single-pane bronze-tinted glass. The building is heated and cooled using all-electric room unit ventilators.

The window film chosen for this demonstration project was CPFilms' LLumar® E-1220 Low-E film. As shown in Table 1, the addition of this film reduced solar heat gain 67 percent based on the solar heat gain coefficients (SGHC) of the windows before and after film installation. In addition, the film is constructed with a low-emissivity, or low-e, coating that improved the insulating value (U-value) of the single-pane windows by 23 percent. This improvement in the window's insulating properties provided additional cooling season energy savings, beyond the reduction in solar heat gain, and provided heating season energy savings by reducing heat loss through the windows.

		1
Window Values	U-value ⁽¹⁾ (Btu/hr/sqft/°F)	Solar Heat Gain Coefficient
Before Film Installation	1.09	0.64
After Film Installation	0.84	0.21
% Improvement with Film	23%	67%

lable 1. window Thermal And Solar Propertie

⁽¹⁾NFRC/ASHRAE Winter nighttime conditions, 0°F, 15 mph wind, 70°F indoors.

Rockford has over 6,000 heating degree days per year (using a 65°F base). This cool climate location was chosen to demonstrate that, under

the proper circumstances, energy control window films are an attractive option even in cooler climates and are not simply a "warm climate only" technology, especially when utilizing low-e insulating films. The location was also chosen to show that low-e window films, and the improvement in window insulating properties they offer, can provide substantial energy savings during a prolonged heating season, more than offsetting the loss of "free" solar heat. Negotiations with the building owner prohibited other energy-saving measures from taking place in order to isolate the effects from window film installation.

Before film installation, CPFilms utilized DOE-2.1 to evaluate project energy savings using IPMVP Option D calibrated simulation methods. CPFilms then enlisted the efforts of a leading national energy service company (ESCO) to independently measure and verify energy savings using IPMVP Option C. Option C, measurement of energy savings, was compared against predicted savings from the calibrated simulation as a means of determining the suitability of using



Figure 1. Demonstration Building Following Film Installation

Spring 2005, Vol. 24, No. 4

DOE-2 in predicting energy savings for future projects. This was deemed important, as normally it is not possible to isolate the energy savings from window film installation, which allowed using the Option C method for this project, as often multiple energy-saving measures are performed along with window film. As a result, Option D typically is the only viable means for determining energy savings from window film installation.

WINDOW FILM ENERGY SAVINGS USING IPMVP OPTION D

Prior to window film installation, a whole building energy simulation of the demonstration building was prepared. To ensure that the simulation model would provide an accurate assessment of the energy savings resulting from window film installation, the monthly and annual energy consumption of the simulated building was compared against the building's actual consumption for the two previous years. DOE-2 energy analysis software was chosen as the method for simulating the building's energy performance, based upon the regular use of the program in the research, ESCO, and utility industries as a reliable method for such purposes. Table 2 provides a review of the most pertinent information used in the simulation.

Figure 2 shows the comparison of monthly and annual electricity consumption for the building using averaged data from 2000 and 2001 compared against the simulation results. As indicated in Figure 2, the simulation estimate of annual energy use for the demonstration building closely matches actual data for the 2000-2001 period, while matching the monthly fluctuations in energy use reasonably well. As the simulation model uses averaged weather data over multiple years, it is not reasonable to expect simulation and actual monthly energy use to match precisely each month.

Next, the building DOE-2 model was modified to show the effect of adding CPFilms' LLumar® E-1220 low-e window film to the existing windows. As seen in Table 3, the DOE-2 calculations indicated an 8.4 percent reduction in annual electricity consumption, saving approximately 180,850 kW/hr annually and producing a simple payback in 2.77 years.

Building Type	Commercial Office
Building Size	8 floors, 59,000 sqft total conditioned area
Window Areas	North - 2875 sqft South - 2875 sqft East - 1725 sqft West - 1725 sqft
Window Type	Single-pane, 1/4-inch, bronze
Lighting Offices	1.0 w/sqft
Plug Load	0.8 w/sqft
Heating Temperatures	70°F daytime, 65°F night
Cooling Temperatures	75°F daytime, 85°F night
Ventilation Rate	20 cfm per person, 250 sqft per person
Cooling System Efficiency	1 kW/ton

Table 2. Values Used In DOE-2 Simulation

Table 3. Annual savings using Option D

Existing Annual Electric Consumption & Cost Based on Average of 2000 & 2001	2,153,000 kW/hr	\$138,222
Estimated Savings (as a % of existing consumption)	8.4%	
Estimated Annual Savings	180,850 kW/hr	\$11,610
Simple Payback	2.77 years	



Monthly Electricity Consumption

Figure 2. Monthly and Annual Electricity Consumption (Actual vs. DOE-2 Model)

WINDOW FILM ENERGY SAVINGS USING IPMVP OPTION C

Energy savings for the demonstration building were also independently measured by a leading national ESCO using IPMVP Option C, employing the analysis of monthly utility bills. This method was deemed appropriate, as the demonstration building is all electric, making it relatively simple to identify the energy savings from window film installation for both the heating and cooling seasons.

As expected, the main factors causing fluctuations in energy consumption for the building, based on a review of prior energy use, were building occupancy and weather conditions. As previously mentioned, other factors affecting building energy consumption (type and amount of lighting, HVAC equipment efficiency and type, cooling and heating temperatures, etc.) were held relatively stable to isolate the savings from window film installation as much as possible. Accordingly, the ESCO's method for measuring energy savings would be to determine the energy consumption over the 12-month period prior to window film installation, after adjusting consumption for occupancy and weather, and compare this figure to the value for the 12 months following window film installation.

Figure 3 shows the electricity use per thousand square feet of occupied space per degree day (kW/hr/ksqft/DD), before, during, and after window film installation. From Figure 3, it is apparent that during and immediately following window film installation, energy usage began to decrease significantly. As seen in Figure 4, the average energy consumption for the twelve months prior to film installation was 11.18 kW/hr/ksqft/DD. Following film installation, the same information was tracked on a monthly basis and the average energy consumption for the twelve months following film installation was 10.19 kW/hr/ksqft/ DD. A comparison of these "before" and "after" values indicated the project's overall savings to be 8.8 percent.

Table 4 shows the results obtained from both IPMVP methods in a side-by-side comparison. As indicated in this table, the results of both methods match closely, giving additional confidence in the results obtained.

CONCLUSION

The demonstration project provided insight into the substantial savings that can be achieved from the installation of energy control



Figure 3. Building Energy Use—Before and After Film Installation



Figure 4. Determining Energy Savings after Film Installation

	Option C	Option D
Annual kW/hr savings	189,460	180,850
Savings as a % of existing consumption	8.8%	8.4%
Annual Dollar Savings	\$12,163	\$11,610
Simple Payback, years	2.65	2.77

Table 4. Comparison of Energy SavingsUsing Option C and Option D

window films. The project also demonstrated that significant energy savings can be obtained even in cool climates if a significant amount of energy inefficient window glass is being utilized on the building envelope. Energy savings in warmer regions are likely to be greater than those shown in this article, but actual savings will be dependent upon many variables, especially existing glass type and type of window film utilized. These variations can easily be accounted for within a DOE-2

analysis for an accurate assessment.

The project also demonstrated that energy savings from window film installation can be accurately predicted using DOE-2 or other appropriate computer simulation software if an accurate model of the building is prepared. This is especially important as directly measuring the energy savings from window film installation using IPMVP methods other than Option D are often difficult to accomplish, as the many factors affecting energy consumption are not normally held constant, as they were in this study. Using the information provided by this project, energy savings from future window film projects can be predicted with confidence using DOE-2.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank the following for their assistance: Jeff Hongsermeier, owner of Glass Enhancements, Rockford, Illinois, for providing window film installation services and for his assistance in developing this project and the Fridh Corporation for use of their property.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Steve DeBusk is the energy solutions manager for CPFilms. His primary focus in directing CPFilms' energy solutions division is working with energy management companies, consultants, and contractors in the development of energy control window film project opportunities. Contact information: steve.debusk@cpfilms.com, (276) 627-3234.

Mr. DeBusk is both a Certified Energy Manager and Certified Measurement and Verification Professional and has over 20 years experience in the energy efficiency industry. Mr. DeBusk received both his B.S. and M.S. degrees in mechanical engineering from Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA.

CPFilms Inc. is the manufacturer of Vista® and LLumar® energy control window films. CPFilms is the largest producer of energy control window films and film components in the world with distinction in the areas of research and technology, manufacturing excellence, global distribution, and dedicated customer service. CPFilms is an ISO 9001 certified company (www.cpfilms.com).